Previous references.

192/.

(CB.)

File No. 275-21

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6

Later references.

CB. 275-21-8 & 9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6

Part B for July 1921

SUBJECT.

Appointment of Mr. Chemnaraja as Special Magistrate to dispose of the Chintamani Riot Case.

G.O. No. 7634-7 dt 29.6.21 to

The Dy. Comr & Dist. Mag. Kolar Dt.
The Revr. Comr in Mysore
The Comptr. to Govt.
The I.G.P. Police in Mysore

Direct that Mr. Chemnaraja be vested by the Chintamani Riot Case till it is Completed.

WD 1888—GPR—16,896—23-7-21

Mella Asst Secy
6/7/21

G.R.S. III—3]

4686

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

P 4669

CB 4686.

From

The S. P. of Police

Letter no C 2959 dt 19.6.1922

Reports that Mr Chamaraj Urs might be appointed Special Magistrate till the disposal of the Chintamani Riot case, if the Chintamani Subdivision is to be abolished.

Ans'd

The Chintamani Subdivision has been abolished vide order no: R 7136/LR 341-21-2 dt 19.6.22

The posting of the Subdivision Officer Mr. Chamaraj Urs is being dealt with in the Confidential Branch. This may be transferred thereto if approved

A reference from the Dt Magte, 22/6/22 Kolar, received today, on the subject is put up.

If the proposal is to be approved, Mr: Chamaraj Urs will have to be placed on special duty during the period. The matter will have to be dealt with in the Confl Branch.

(Confl. Branch to write)

CAG 23/6

4686

CB

Note 23/6/22

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submitted
22/6/22

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

No. R 7136/L.R. 341-6-22, Dated Bangalore, 19-6- 1922

CB 275-21-2

NOTIFICATION.

Government are pleased to direct that the Chintamani Sub-Division in the Kolar District be abolished with effect from 1st July 1922 and the several subdivisions in that District regrouped as follows:-

(1) The Taluks of Malur, Mulbagal, Srinivasapur and Chintamani will form the Malur Sub Division with Head Quarter at Kolar.

(2) The Taluks of Chikballapur, Goribidnur, Bagepalli (including Gudibanda) and Sidlaghatta will form the Chikballapur Sub Division with Head Quarters at Chikballapur.

(3) The Kolar Taluk will be placed under the Treasury Assistant Commissioner, Kolar.

(4) The Deputy Commissioner will be in direct charge of the Bowringpet Taluk.

" By Order "

(Sd) A.V. Ramanathan

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

TMK6.

Confidential Branch

8

19.6.22

Sub-W. for personal
A place will have to be found from 1.7.22
for Sri. M. Channaiya the new in charge of
the Chintamani Sub-Div.
will there be no vacancies on
that date? Please submit a statement
showing the actual number on each date

A statement has separately been made to the
Chief Secy
21/6/22

Submitted for perusal
Chief Secy
21/6

4586

~~21/6/22~~

Is there any arrangement made by
H.E.H. to entertain our Chamberlains
Sphragistes in the matter of conveyance -
when it? Kindly see it Sahib?
21/6

~~Revenue Branch~~
~~Respectfully submitted to the Chief~~
received from the Judicial Branch
The papers are here with Sahib
~~Signature~~
21/6/22

Kindly
22/6/22
Letter prepared for signature

In the circumstances, Our Chamberlain
can not perhaps be spared for a
week more in the Kedarstan
Special Magistrate in the matter of
the Christian rioting case -
22/6
23/6

Sahib
23.6.22
Submitted
Dewan

We do not need in what charge
the case is - If the witnesses or
the accused absent themselves
he may not be able to dispose
of the case even if he is kept on
Special duty for ~~months~~ a month
Longer than a month would require
by the Criminal Procedure - the Sphragistes
Magistrate will have to be
Cancelled.
23/6/22

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUN 23 1922
Reg. No. 7173

The Dhanagh Person is charged
there. It is necessary to
hear this person. Sphragistes
to complete the case - what
do they the Sahib who have
any records. The papers
have been taken. Other
charges. If Dewan Sahib
wishes may I come
23.6

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Cttd 26.6.20 submitted for the next meeting

2) Ex. Thanjavur Rejected. 26/6

Clerk

12) Amendments to be done 26/6

N.J. for adoption.

Clerk 27/6/20

13) Drafts submitted on 27/6/20

Issue

14) Clerk not to be appointed Special Magistrate in the next 7 the case - Revenue Court Jail. Fees now

26/6

15) I do not think it is necessary to appoint him Special Magistrate for the purpose

28/6

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

No C.2959/20
Encl: one

Dated Bangalore, 17th June 1922

From

A. Subrahmanya Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Inspector-General of Police in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL DEPT. 20 JUN 1922

To

S. Hiriannaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General ~~xxxxxx~~ *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

JUDICIAL AND REVENUE SECTT. 20 JUN 1922

SIR

In enclosing a copy of the remarks of the District Superintendent of Police and Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, on the Prosecuting Inspector's Diary for week ending 3.6.1922 about the Chintamani Riot case, I have the honour to recommend that in the event of the Sub Division being abolished Mr. Channaraja Urs might in the circumstances reported be appointed Special Magistrate for the disposal of this case.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

From

The Inspector-General of Police.

To

The Revenue Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

for the week ending Saturday 3rd June 1922.

---

x x x x

x x x x

C.C.of 53 of 21-22. Crime No.72-700/20-21.

Government Vs. Rahim Sab and 25 others.

Ten Prosecution witnesses were further cross examined by the defence advocate Messrs C. Narayanaswami Naidu and D.Shama Rao.

For want of time the case was asjourned to to-morrow.

2.6.22. Attended the Court of the 1st Class Magistrate Chintamani Sub Division.

Nine more Prosecution witnesses were further cross examined by the defence Advocates. The case was adjourned to 7-6-1922 and the following day for the further cross examination of the remaining 36 Prosecution witnesses.

~~3.6.22.~~ x ~~Holiday (King Emperor's Birthday.~~ x x x

Remarks of the District Superintendent of Police, Kolar District on the Prosecuting Inspector's Diary referred to above.

It is strongly rumoured that the Chintamani Revenue Sub Division is about to be abolished. If so the rioting case in which about 170 witnesses are cited (Prosecution witnesses for cross examination and defence witnesses) is sure to continue for an inordinate long period unless the present Magistrate is allowed to continue till the case is finished.

Sd. C. Machia,
4-6-1922.

Remarks of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

Forwarded with compliments. It is very necessary that the present Officer should continue and dispose of the case.

13.6-1922.

SD. M. Zahiruddin Mecci,
Deputy Commissioner.

" C O P Y "

Inspector General of Police.

Office of the District Magistrate, Kolar District.

Dated Kolar, the 20th June 1922.

CB. 4686
CB. 275-21-3

From

Md. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esq.,B.A.,
District Magistrate, Kolar District.

To

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
General Department, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Adverting to para 6 of the G.O.No:R.7048-109/L.R.341-21-1 dated 16/19-6-1922, directing the abolition of the Chintamani Sub Division, I have the honor to state that the Chintamani Rioting Case is still pending before the First Class Magistrate, Chintamani and is posted to 1st July next for defence. In view of the importance of the case, I request that orders of Government may be obtained not to disturb Mr. Chennaraj Urs, till he completes the case.

Mr. Chennaraj Urs will be instructed to go on with the case from day to day and complete the same without further adjournments.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,
Kolar District.

19 22

From

The District Magistrate
Kolar District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General Department
Bangalore.

Dated 20/6/22.

Despatched 20/6/22

Received 22 JUN 1922

General No. Ref J.C 736/21-22
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Requesting him to obtain orders of Government not to disturb the Chamarajpet Ward Committee pending the Chintamani Rioting Case.

Telegram.

T o

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

K O L A R.

MR. CHENNARAJURS SHOULD COMPLETE CHINTAMANI RIOTING CASE.

- CHISEC -

Superintendent,

Chief Secretariat.

Bangalore, 29th June 1922.

Telegram Sent
by Code Section
@ 3.30 Pm
29/6/22

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:--

Government Notification ~~No.xR.~~ No. R. 7136/L.R.341-6-22 dated the 19th June 1922 directing the abolition of the Chintamani Sub Division in the Kolar District with effect from 1st July 1922.

2. Letter No. J.C.736 dated the 20th June 1922 from the District Magistrate Kolar District stating that the Chintamani rioting case is ~~xiii~~ still pending before the 1st class Magistrate Chintamani and requesting that in view of the importance of the case orders of Government may be obtained not to disturb Mr. Chennaraj Urs till he completes the case.

3. Letter No.C.2959/20 dated the 19th June 1922 from the Inspector General of Police recommending that Mr.Chennaraj Urs may be appointed Special Magistrate for the disposal of the above case.

Order No. 7634-7
C.B. 275-21-4.
Dated 29/6/22

In the circumstances Government direct that Mr. Chennaraj Urs be allowed to continue to try the above case till its completion. From the 1st July 1922 he will be regarded as having been placed

on Special Duty in connection with the case.

Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate, Kolar District.

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

C.B. 275-21-5.
CD. 4739

28 JUN 1922

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

As we are given to understand that representations are being made to appoint Mr. Chennaraja Urs, the Sub Division Officer of Chintamani as Special Magistrate to finish the trial of the Chintamani -- rioting case after the abolition of Chintamani Sub Division from 1st July 1922, we, the accused in the said case beg to represent as follows:-

1. The appointment of Mr. Chennaraja Urs as Special Magistrate will in effect be the same as continuing the Sub Division and the very object of the abolition of the Sub Division will fail.

2. There is no necessity for the said appointment. The case may be transferred to the file of any other Magistrate. We will give an undertaking not to claim de novo trial and the trial may be proceeded with from where it is left.

3. As there are 8 more witnesses for the prosecution to be cross-examined and 114 witnesses for the defence to be examined and as the defence wit-

witnesses will have to come from several places, the trial will continue for at least six months more. Appointment of a Special Magistrate for this period costs Government considerable amount of money.

4. If a Special Magistrate is to be appointed the accused will be forced to close their case soon, and to give up several important witnesses and failure of justice will be the result.

5. The fact that those who are responsible for the prosecution are insisting upon the same Magistrate finishing the trial, has created reasonable fear in our minds and to create confidence in us it is essential that under the present circumstances the case should be tried by a different Magistrate.

6. We therefore pray the Government ~~no~~ not to appoint Mr. Chennaraja Urs as a Special Magistrate both in the interests of retrenchment and fairness of the trial and we beg to be excused for approaching the Government direct as our intention is mainly to avoid future troubles. We beg further to bring to the notice of the Government that in case of the said appointment being made we will have to move the Chief Court for transfer of the case and there may be delay on this account.

Syed Ahmed Khan





29 JUN 1922

66593

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, B a n g a l o r e.

As we are given to understand that representations are being made to appoint Mr. Chennaraja Urs, the Sub Division Officer of Chintamani as Special Magistrate to finish the trial of the Chintamani - rioting case after the abolition of Chintamani Sub Division from 1st July 1922, we the accused in the said case beg to represent as follows:-

1. The appointment of Mr. Chennaraja Urs as Special Magistrate will in effect be the same as continuing the Sub Division and the very object of the abolition of the Sub Division will fail.

2. There is no necessity for the said appointment. The case may be transferred to the file of any other Magistrate. We will give an undertaking not to claim de novo trial and the trial may be proceeded with from where it is left.

3. As there are 8 more witnesses for the prosecution to be cross examined and 114 witnesses for the defence to be examined and as the defence witnesses will have to come from several places, the trial will continue for at least six months more. Appointment of a Special Magistrate for this period costs Government considerable amount of money.

4. If a Special Magistrate is to be appointed the accused will be forced to close their case soon, and to give up several important witnesses and failure of justice will be the result.

5. The fact that those who are responsible for

for the prosecution, are insisting upon the same Magistrate finishing the trial has created reasonable fear in our minds and to create confidence in us it is essential that under the present circumstances, the case should be tried by a different -- Magistrate.

6. We therefore pray the Government not to appoint Mr. Chennaraja Urs as Special Magistrate both in the interests of retrenchment and fairness of the trial and we beg to be excused for approaching the Government direct as our intention is mainly to avoid future troubles.

Chintamani.

Date 28/6/22



C. POSTS TELEGRAPHS. C.B. 275-21-6 (16)

1157

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram

Charges to pay.	
Rs.	As.
	4

Office Stamp

BANGALORE CITY

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date	Hour	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words

TO Kodai

29 16 15

Recd here at H. 16 20

CM & REV. SECT.
CB
29 JUN 1922
4.45 pm.

Government Bungalow
Superintendent
in the unit
Kodaikanal

Reference 736 twenty seventh
Chintamani visiting Case posted
first July issue government orders
Keep Mr Chennayans special magistrate

N.B. The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Lal Chand & Sons—3342 13-10-19—2,00,000 Bks. Edn. I.